# OPEN MEETING





TO:

THE COMMISSION

**ORIGINAL** 

RECEIVED

2015 FEB 13 P 3: 53

DATE:

FROM:

February 13, 2015

Utilities Division

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

RE:

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF A REVISED RIDER R-3 FOR NET METERED

CUSTOMERS (DOCKET NO. E-01933A-15-0032)

## Background

On February 3, 2015, Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP") filed an application for approval of its revised Rider R-3 Market Cost of Comparable Conventional Generation ("MCCCG") which is used in conjunction with Rider R-4, Net Metering for Certain Partial Requirements Service ("NM-PRS"). TEP's Rider R-3 specifies the methodology for calculating the rate to be paid for purchases of excess energy from Net Metering Facilities. The rate is listed on the TEP Statement of Charges. The last revision of the Rider R-3 rate was approved by the Commission's Decision No. 74396 (March 19, 2014).

For Net Metering customers, each calendar year, for customer bills produced in October (September usage) or for a customer's "final" bill, TEP credits the customer for any balance of excess kWhs remaining. The payment for the purchase of these excess kWhs is at TEP's applicable avoided cost. TEP has defined its avoided cost as the average hourly market cost of comparable conventional generation as specified on its Rider R-3 applicable to NM-PRS. Rider R-3 indicates that the MCCCG will be filed annually (by February 1) by TEP for approval by the Commission.

## Proposed Tariff

TEP's initial proposed revision to the Rider R-3 rate reflected a lower MCCCG and therefore decreased the avoided cost rate from 2.666¢ per kWh to 2.6353¢ per kWh for purchases of excess energy from Net Metering Facilities.

Subsequently, TEP revaluated the hourly costs, and on February 11, 2015, submitted revised data to Staff showing a higher avoided cost rate of 2.8653¢ per kWh.

Staff has reviewed TEP's hourly marginal costs that resulted in the 2.8653¢ rate and finds the data and calculations to be reasonable.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

FEB 1 3 2015

DOSKETED BY

### Recommendations

Staff recommends that TEP's revised Rider R-3 MCCCG as applicable to Rider R-4 NM-PRS be approved using the data submitted to Staff on February 11, 2015.

Staff further recommends that the avoided cost rate of 2.8653¢ per kWh be made effective as of April 1, 2015.

Staff further recommends that TEP file a Statement of Charges consistent with the Decision in this case within 15 days of the effective date of the Decision.

Steven M. Olea

Director

Utilities Division

SMO:JJP:sms\RRM

ORIGINATOR: Jeffrey Pasquinelli

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
2	SUSAN BITTER SMITH Chairman	
3	BOB STUMP	
4	Commissioner BOB BURNS	
5	Commissioner DOUG LITTLE	
6	Commissioner TOM FORESE	
7	Commissioner	
8		
	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) DOCKET NO. E-01933A-15-0032	
9	OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF A DECISION NO	
10	REVISED RIDER R-3 FOR NET METERED ORDER CUSTOMERS.	
11		
12		
13		
14	Open Meeting March 2 and 3, 2015	
15	Phoenix, Arizona	
16	BY THE COMMISSION:	
17	FINDINGS OF FACT	
18	1. Tucson Electric Power Company, Inc. ("TEP" or "Company") is certificated to	
19	provide electric service as a public service corporation in the State of Arizona.	
20	Background	
21	2. On February 3, 2015, TEP filed an application for approval of its revised Rider R-3	
22	Market Cost of Comparable Conventional Generation ("MCCCG") which is used in conjunction with	
23	Rider R-4, Net Metering for Certain Partial Requirements Service ("NM-PRS"). TEP's Rider R-3	
24	specifies the methodology for calculating the rate to be paid for purchases of excess energy from Net	
25	Metering Facilities. The rate is listed on the TEP Statement of Charges. The last revision of the Rider	
26	R-3 rate was approved by the Commission's Decision No. 74396 (March 19, 2014).	
27		
28	· · ·	

3. For Net Metering customers, each calendar year, for customer bills produced in October (September usage) or for a customer's "final" bill, the Company credits the customer for any balance of excess kWhs remaining. The payment for the purchase of these excess kWhs is at the Company's applicable avoided cost. TEP has defined its avoided cost as the average hourly market cost of comparable conventional generation as specified on its Rider R-3 applicable to NM-PRS. Rider R-3 indicates that the MCCCG will be filed annually (by February 1) by TEP for approval by the Commission.

#### **Proposed Tariff**

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 4. TEP's initial proposed revision to the Rider R-3 rate reflected a lower MCCCG and therefore decreased the avoided cost rate from 2.666¢ per kWh to 2.6353¢ per kWh for purchases of excess energy from Net Metering Facilities.
- 5. Subsequently, TEP revaluated the hourly costs, and on February 11, 2015, submitted revised data to Staff showing a higher avoided cost of 2.8653¢ per kWh.
- 6. Staff has reviewed TEP's hourly marginal costs that resulted in the 2.8653¢ rate and finds the data and calculations to be reasonable.

#### Recommendations

- 7. Staff has recommended that TEP's revised Rider R-3 MCCCG as applicable to Rider R-4 NM-PRS be approved.
- 8. Staff has further recommended that the avoided cost rate of 2.8653¢ per kWh should be effective as of April 1, 2015.
- 9. Staff has further recommended that TEP file a Statement of Charges consistent with the Decision in this case within 15 days of the effective date of the Decision.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. TEP is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over TEP and over the subject matter of the application.

28

Decision No.	

Approval of the revised Rider R-3 MCCCG does not constitute a rate increase as 3. contemplated by A.R.S. Section 40-250. The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum dated February 13, 2015, concludes that the revised Rider R-3 MCCCG should be approved as discussed herein. 

Decision No.

Decision No.

25

26

27

28

Decision No.

Docket No. E-01933A-15-0032